UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,627 01/31/2005 Dan Rich		Dan Richards	58181-5	1876
21322 MARK A OAT	7590 07/14/200 HOUT	EXAMINER		
3701 KIRBY D HOUSTON, TX	RIVE, SUITE 960	GOLIGHTLY, ERIC WAYNE		
поозтон, 12	X / /U90		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/14/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mark@oathoutlaw.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/522,627	RICHARDS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Eric Golightly	1792	

	Eric Golightly	1792					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> <li>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 June 2009</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1.2.4.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.2.5.   Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) thou the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co  owable if submitted in a separate, ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
Claim(s) objected to: 62. Claim(s) rejected: 43-67 and 69-72. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 68.  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ul> <li>9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).</li> <li>10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.</li> <li>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ul>							
<ul> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)</li> <li>13.  Other:</li> </ul>							
/Michael Kornakov/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792	/Eric Golightly/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendment would change the dependency of claim 68. Claim 68 has not been treated on the merits due to its improperly referring to claims that have been cancelled (see Office action dated 5/13/2008 at page 2) and later due to its improper form as a multiple dependent claim depending from another multiple dependent claim (see Office action dated 3/25/2009 at page 2). Since the claim has not been treated on the merits, the proposed amendment would require further consideration and possible further search and will not be entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants do not present an argument in the reply and the proposed amendment will not be entered.